

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/502,060	SENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Suzin Bailey.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

those of record

Claims discussed:

those pending

Prior art documents discussed:

That cited.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 30 depended from canceled claim 29. Approval for an Exr. Amdt. to change the dependency of claim 30 to claim 28 was given by Ms. Bailey.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)